Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

A mixed-valence iron complex of the antitumour drug 6-mercaptopurine: tris(6-mercaptopurine)iron(II) tetrachloroferrate(III) chloride

Helmut W. Schmalle,* Ernst Gyr and Erich Dubler

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland Correspondence e-mail: schmalle@aci.unizh.ch

Received 9 May 2000 Accepted 5 June 2000

Single crystals of the title complex, tris(1,6-dihydro-9*H*-purine-6-thione- N^7 ,S)iron(II) tetrachloroferrate(III) chloride, [Fe(C₅H₄N₄S)₃][FeCl₄]Cl, were grown on the surface of solid 6-mercaptopurine monohydrate pellets in a solution of iron(III) chloride. The solution of the hexagonal structure required the application of twin refinement techniques. All the component ions lie on threefold rotation axes. The complex contains distorted octahedral [Fe(C₅H₄N₄S)₃]²⁺ cations with three N7/S6-chelating neutral 6-mercaptopurine ligands, tetrahedral [FeCl₄]⁻ anions with a mean Fe—Cl distance of 2.189 (1) Å, and free chloride ions.

Comment

6-Mercaptopurine (6-MP), the synthetic thio analogue of the naturally occurring purine derivative hypoxanthine, is a clinical agent routinely administered for the therapy of human leukaemia. 6-MP is converted intracellularly to the corresponding ribonucleotide, which inhibits purine biosynthesis (Elion, 1989). Metal complexes of 6-MP and related drugs are of special interest in view of their possibly enhanced therapeutic effect with respect to the free base, which may also be a consequence of the protection of the molecule from enzymatic



biological degradation by metal complexation. Metal complexes of drug molecules could, in addition, be used as slow-release drugs (Farrell, 1989). Preliminary investigations by UV spectroscopy of the enzymatic degradation of 6-MP in aqueous solutions by xanthine oxidase, supported by catalase, show a significant decrease of the decomposition reaction rate of 6-MP in the form of the title iron complex, (I), compared with the corresponding rate of the free ligand.

A review of metal complexes of sulfur-containing purine derivatives has recently been given by Dubler (1996). It has been shown that, depending on the protonation status of the ligand and on the hardness or softness of the corresponding metal atom, 6-MP variously coordinates in a monodentate manner through S6, N7 or N3, chelates through N7/S6 and/or bridges two metal atoms through S6, S6/N7 or N1/N7. As part of a program elucidating the coordination properties of oxo- and thiopurines (Zhu *et al.*, 1998), we present here the synthesis and structure of the title complex, (I).

There are three building units in the structure (Fig. 1): octahedral $[Fe(C_5H_4N_4S)_3]^{2+}$ cations with three N7/S6chelating neutral 6-MP ligands, tetrahedral $[FeCl_4]^-$ anions and free chloride anions. The FeN₃S₃ octahedron is significantly distorted, with octahedral angles ranging from 83.39 (5) to 95.44 (5)°. The neutral 6-MP ligand is protonated at N1 and N9, but not at the coordinating N7 atom.

A common feature of most coordinating purine bases is a slight non-planarity in the pseudo-aromatic ring system. Small deviations from planarity also occur in (I), where the maximum distances of an atom from the best plane through the nine purine ring atoms are -0.029 (2) Å for N9 and 0.025 (2) Å for N7. The S6…N7 'bite distance' of the chelating 6-MP ligand is 3.179 (2) Å, compared with the corresponding distance of 3.342 (1) Å for the non-coordinating molecule in 6-mercaptopurine monohydrate (Sletten *et al.*, 1969). This fact is in agreement with the observation that the decrease of the bite distance is more pronounced the smaller the metal atom is. The distance varies from about 3.32 Å in Cd^{II} complexes to 3.04 Å in Cu^{II} complexes (Dubler & Gyr, 1988).



Figure 1

A *PLATON* (Spek, 1990) displacement ellipsoid plot of (I) at the 50% probability level with the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii [symmetry codes: (i) 1 - y, x - y, z; (ii) 1 - x + y, 1 - x, z; (iii) -y, x - y, z; (iv) -x + y, -x, z].

The $[Fe(C_5H_4N_4S)_3]^{2+}$ cations are separated from each other by the $[FeCl_4]^-$ anions, and there is no evidence of stacking interactions between the purine planes. The mean Fe-Cl distance in the $[Fe^{III}Cl_4]^-$ anion is 2.189 (1) Å. This distance clearly indicates the Fe^{III} oxidation state of the central atom, since for a tetrahedral $[Fe^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$ anion, the expected mean bonding distance is about 2.30 Å (Pelizzi *et al.*, 1977).

The $[Fe(C_5H_4N_4S)_3]^{2+}$ cations and the free Cl⁻ anions are connected through a network of hydrogen bonds of the N-H···Cl type, where the Cl⁻ anion acts as a six-coordinate acceptor. In addition, weak interactions of the C-H···S type are observed (Table 2 and Fig. 2).





 A^{PLUTON} (Spek, 1991) packing diagram for (I) showing the hydrogenbonding interactions between the 6-MP ligands and the Cl⁻ ion.

Experimental

A solution of FeCl₃· $6H_2O$ (270 mg, 1 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml) and 1 N HCl (1 ml) was overlaid on a solid pill of 6-MP·H₂O and stored at a temperature of 333 K in a closed vessel. After 2 d, red–brown hexagonal prismatic crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray analysis had grown on the surface of the pill. Crystals of the same composition were also synthesized by heating a solution of 6-MP·H₂O (681 mg, 4 mmol) and FeCl₃· $6H_2O$ (1081 mg, 4 mmol) in 1 N HCl (2 ml) and ethanol (100 ml). The reaction mixture was filtered and kept at a temperature of 333 K for crystallization. Within a few hours, a red– brown microcrystalline product, (I), was separated from the solution. Crystal data

```
[Fe(C_5H_4N_4S)_3][FeCl_4]Cl
M_r = 745.50
Trigonal, P6_3
a = 10.3499 (6) Å
b = 10.3499 (6) Å
c = 14.6652 (11) Å
<math>\alpha = 90.00^{\circ}
V = 1360.48 (15) Å<sup>3</sup>
Z = 2
```

Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer φ rotation scans Absorption correction: numerical (Coppens *et al.*, 1965) $T_{min} = 0.630$, $T_{max} = 0.669$ 16 156 measured reflections 1411 independent reflections (plus 1306 Friedel-related reflections) 2484 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.26 \text{ e Å}^2$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $wR(F^2) = 0.038$ S = 1.098Extinction correction: SHELXL97 2717 reflections (Sheldrick, 1997) 114 parameters Extinction coefficient: 0.0107 (4) H-atom parameters constrained Absolute structure: Flack (1983) $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.01P)^2]$ Flack parameter = -0.05(2)where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $D_x = 1.820 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 7998

Mo Ka radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 3.60 - 30.35^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.820 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 173 (1) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$

 $\theta_{\max} = 30.35^{\circ}$ $h = -12 \rightarrow 14$

 $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$

every image

frequency: 3.5 min

intensity decay: none

50 to 200 standard reflections

Prism, red-brown

 $0.28 \times 0.25 \times 0.24$ mm

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Fe2-Cl2	2.1878 (11)	N7-C5	1.371 (3)
Fe2-Cl3	2.1892 (7)	C8-N9	1.345 (3)
Fe1-N7	2.1638 (19)	N1-C6	1.363 (3)
Fe1-S6	2.5908 (6)	N1-C2	1.364 (3)
S6-C6	1.686 (2)	C6-C5	1.398 (3)
N3-C2	1.307 (3)	N9-C4	1.371 (3)
N3-C4	1.335 (4)	C5-C4	1.380 (3)
N7-C8	1.318 (3)		
Cl2-Fe2-Cl3	109.11 (3)	C6-N1-C2	123.7 (2)
Cl3 ⁱ -Fe2-Cl3	109.83 (2)	N1-C6-C5	112.0 (2)
N7-Fe1-N7 ⁱⁱ	91.66 (7)	N1-C6-S6	125.13 (17)
$N7-Fe1-S6^{ii}$	95.44 (5)	C5-C6-S6	122.85 (19)
N7-Fe1-S6	83.39 (5)	C8-N9-C4	107.62 (18)
N7 ⁱⁱ -Fe1-S6	171.45 (6)	N7-C5-C4	111.59 (19)
S6 ⁱⁱ -Fe1-S6	90.122 (19)	N7-C5-C6	128.2 (2)
C6-S6-Fe1	93.51 (8)	C4-C5-C6	120.2 (2)
C2-N3-C4	112.1 (2)	N3-C4-N9	129.4 (2)
C8-N7-C5	103.72 (19)	N3-C4-C5	126.5 (2)
C8-N7-Fe1	144.36 (17)	N9-C4-C5	104.1 (2)
C5-N7-Fe1	111.92 (13)	N3-C2-N1	125.5 (2)
N7-C8-N9	112.9 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - y, x - y, z; (ii) -y, x - y, z.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots Cl1^{i}$	0.88	2.48	3.273 (2)	150
N9-H9···Cl1 ⁱⁱ	0.88	2.38	3.231 (2)	164
$C2-H2\cdots S6^{iii}$	0.95	2.78	3.693 (3)	161
Symmetry codes:	(i) $x - y$	$-1, x - 1, \frac{1}{2} + z;$	(ii) $x - 1$,	y - 1, z; (iii)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - y - 1, x - 1, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) x - 1, y - 1, z; (iii) -1 - x + y, -1 - x, z.

The structure had been refined previously (Gyr, 1991) with SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) on F_{o} based on room-temperature measurements (three different crystals were used), with relatively bad R values of about 0.08. Improvement of refinement techniques (e.g. SHELXL97) and the possibility of user-friendly twin refinements with SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997; Herbst-Irmer & Sheldrick, 1998) led us to remeasure one of the example crystals at 173 (1) K with an image-plate detector system (Stoe IPDS) in order to resolve this old problem structure. Repeating the refinement of the known model with the new data set showed the same result (R1 = 0.09, wR2 =0.143, based on F_o^2 using all unique reflections). Application of the twin matrix $(010, 100, 00\overline{1})$ led to a remarkable improvement of the final R values, as shown in the Experimental section; the twinning ratio was 0.286:0.714. The positions of the H atoms were determined from difference electron-density maps, but they were finally calculated after each cycle of refinement using a riding model.

Data collection: *IPDS* (Stoe & Cie, 1999); cell refinement: *IPDS*; data reduction: *XRED* in *IPDS*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 1990) and *PLUTON* (Spek, 1991); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

Gratitude is expressed to the Swiss National Science Foundation for research grants.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1395). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Coppens, P., Leiserowitz, L. & Rabinovich, D. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 1035– 1038.
- Dubler, E. (1996). Metal Ions in Biological Systems, edited by A. Sigel & S. Sigel, Vol. 32, p. 301–338. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Dubler, E. & Gyr, E. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 1466-1473.
- Elion, G. B. (1989). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng. 28, 870-878.
- Farrell, N. (1989). Catalysis by Metal Complexes, edited by R. Ugo & B. R. James, Vol. 11, Transition Metal Complexes as Drugs and Chemotherapeutic Agents, pp. 2–7. London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gyr, E. (1991). PhD thesis, University of Zürich, Switzerland.
- Herbst-Irmer, R. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). Acta Cryst. B54, 443-449.
- Pelizzi, G., Albertin, G., Bordignon, E., Orio, A. A. & Calogero, S. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 3761–3767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. University of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sletten, E., Sletten, J. & Jensen, L. H. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1330-1338.
- Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.
- Spek, A. L. (1991). PLUTON. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Stoe & Cie (1999). IPDS. Version 2.92. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Zhu, F.-C., Schmalle, H. W., Fischer, B. & Dubler, E. (1998). Inorg. Chem. 37, 1161–1168.